Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JORDAN HIGGINS (aka Jordan Moore),

Defendant.

Case No. 11-cr-00905-SBA-1 (KAW)

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION REGARDING GUILTY PLEA

Magistrate Judge Kandis A. Westmore recommends that the district judge accept Defendant Jordan Higgins aka Jordan Moore's guilty plea entered in open court on June 17, 2015. Specifically, Defendant pleaded guilty pursuant to a written plea agreement to Count(s) one, two, three and four of the Superseding Information, charging Defendant with one count of Conspiracy to Interfere with Commerce by Robbery and Extortion, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1951(a) and three counts of Armed Bank Robbery and Aiding and Abetting, in violation of 18 U.S.C §§2113(a) & (d), 2. The Court makes the following findings:

1. On the hearing date set forth above, with the assistance of counsel, the defendant waived his right to enter his guilty plea before a district judge and instead consented to enter his plea in a hearing before a magistrate judge. Specifically, Defendant was advised that he had a right to plead guilty before a district judge, and that he could waive that right and consent to enter his plea in a plea hearing before a magistrate judge. The magistrate judge also advised him that she would issue a written report recommending that the district judge accept the guilty plea, that the district judge would review that recommendation and determine whether to accept the guilty plea, and that the district judge and not the magistrate judge would sentence him. Defendant was also advised of the procedures (also set forth at the end of this recommendation) for challenging the magistrate judge's recommendation. The defendant then waived his right to proceed before a

district court judge in open court and in writing and consented to enter a change of plea before a magistrate judge.

- 2. A full plea hearing in the manner set forth in Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11 was held. In particular, the magistrate judge reviewed, and the defendant acknowledged that he understood, the following: (a) the nature of each charge and the maximum penalties, as set forth in Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(1) (G)-(L); (b) the effect of the advisory Sentencing Guidelines and 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), *see* Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(1)(M); and (c) the rights he was waiving by pleading guilty, *see* Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(1) (A)-(F). The court reviewed the specific provisions of the plea agreement regarding waiving the right to appeal or collaterally attack the sentence. *See* Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(1)(N). The Court also determined that there was a factual basis for the guilty plea. *See* Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(3).
- 3. The plea agreement itself was disclosed in open court, *see* Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(2), and the defendant was advised as follows:
 - A. The plea agreement was made pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(A). This means that if you plead guilty, the attorney for the government will not bring, or will move to dismiss, other charges, the district judge may accept the agreement, reject the agreement, or defer a decision until the district judge has reviewed the presentence report. To the extent that the district judge accepts the agreement, the agreed disposition will be reflected in the judgment. If the district judge rejects the provisions of the type specified in Rule 11(c)(1)(A), the judge will give you an opportunity to withdraw the plea. If the district judge rejects the plea agreement and you do not withdraw your plea, then the court may impose a more severe sentence without being bound by the plea agreement.
 - B. The plea agreement was also made pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(B), this means that the Government agrees not to oppose your request that a particular sentence or sentencing range is appropriate or that a particular provision of the sentencing guidelines does or does not apply. In your plea agreement, the Government agrees to recommend a sentence within the Guideline range set forth in the plea agreement at the time of sentencing unless you violate the terms of the agreement or fail to accept responsibility. The terms of the plea agreement are merely sentencing recommendations to the court; the joint recommendation of the parties is not binding on the court, and the district judge may reject the recommendations without permitting you to withdraw your plea of guilty, and may impose a sentence that is more severe than you anticipated.

See also Fed. R. Crim. P. 11 (c)(3)-(5).

Case 4:11-cr-00905-SBA Document 169 Filed 07/27/15 Page 3 of 3

4. The magistrate judge also addressed the defendant personally in open court, and
determined that the plea was voluntary and did not result from force, threats, or promises (other
than the promises in the plea agreement). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(2).

- 5. After the advisements set forth in Rule 11, and summarized here, the defendant pleaded guilty. The Court found that he was fully competent and capable of entering an informed plea, that he was aware of the nature of the charges and the consequences of the guilty plea, and that the guilty plea was knowing and voluntary and supported by an independent factual basis. This court thus recommends that the district judge accept the defendant's guilty plea.
- 6. Any party may serve and file specific written objections within fourteen days after being served with a copy of this order. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Crim. P. 59. Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive a party's right to review. See Fed. R. Crim. P. 59(b).
- 7. This matter is set for sentencing before the district court on September 9, 2015 at 10:00 A.M.

IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.

Dated: July 27, 2015

KANDIS A. WESTMORE United States Magistrate Judge

andes Westmore